



Publisher

<http://jssidoi.org/esc/home>



ASSESSMENT OF COMPETITIVENESS OF REGIONS OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN*

**Zhanna Tsaurkubule¹, Zhaxat Kenzhin², Dana Bekniyazova³, Gulmira Bayandina⁴,
Gulsara Dyussebekova⁵**

^{1,2}*Baltic International Academy, Lomonosov Street 4, Riga, Latvia*

³*Innovative University of Eurasia, Lomovstreet, 45, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan*

^{4,5}*S. Toraihyrov Pavlodar State University, Lomov Street, 64, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan*

*E-mails: ¹ zcaurkubule@inbox.lv, ² jaksat_22@mail.ru, ³ dana.bekniyazova@mail.ru, ⁴ bayandinagd@mail.ru,
⁵ gulsara.dyusebekova@mail.ru*

Received 15 September 2019; accepted 8 December 2019; published 30 March 2020

Abstract. In modern science, there are a large number of techniques focused on the assessment of competitiveness through the analysis of certain resources in the region. However, accounting of human resources in such assessments is not used as a prior factor in identifying regional competitive advantages. Competitive advantages affect not only the efficiency of individual sectors of the economy but also the overall social and economic development of the country. Assessment of the competitiveness of the region should include one of the main parameters of the human resource development level. Therefore, the forecast for the competitiveness of the region should take into account the pace of human resources development. The methods used in Kazakhstan for assessing the competitiveness of a region considers only the assessment of human resources in its structure but do not take into account the level of their development over time, as well as the multi-factorial nature of their components. The work explains and analyzes rating model for assessing of the competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan (the National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan). The authors proposed a methodology for ranking the regions of Kazakhstan based on an assessment of the development of their human resources that affect the competitiveness of the region. It includes an analysis of demographic, labor and social and economic indicators reflecting the state of human resources.

* *This research was patlysupported by the project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchanges ES H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014 CLUSDEV MED (2015-2019) Grant Agreement Number 645730730*



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

Keywords: assessment of competitiveness of regions; human resources; methods of ranking regions; competitiveness of regions of Kazakhstan

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Caurkubule, Zh. L., Kenzhin, Zh. B. Bekniyazova, D.S., Bayandina, G.D., Dyussebekova, G. S. 2020. Assessment of competitiveness of regions of the Republic of Kazakhstan. *Insights into Regional Development*, 2(1), 469-479. [http://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.1\(6\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.1(6))

JEL Classifications: R11, O18, P48

1. Introduction

The different level of social and economic development of the regions depends on various factors (geographical, climatic, demographic, etc.). In economics, the relevant problem is the analysis of factors that affect the crisis of individual territories and the search for tools aimed at increasing their competitiveness in the national and international markets. Particularly, this issue is of urgent demand in developing countries (e.g. Orlova et al., 2018; Ragulina et al., 2018; Kiseľáková et al., 2018; Selivanova-Fyodorova et al., 2019).

Many studies of the Kazakhstani economy are of a formal nature since they consider the concept of underdeveloped regions, the factors responsible for the appearance of social and economic problems and the typical ways of solving them. The issues of assessing the competitiveness of regions, the search for competitive advantages of territories, especially by improving the quality of human resources, are not studied enough.

2. Theoretical discussion

The open type of Kazakhstan economy provides the interconnection and interdependence of regions because the commodity sector is the main sector that drives the economy. In regions, which are rich in natural resources, there is a slight economic recovery that resulting in the standard level of well-being of residents (Almerekov, et al., 2018). Reverse processes are observed in non-extractive areas with high population density and the availability of human resources. This generates a differentiation in the development of territorial units of the country.

The reason for the division of the economic space of Kazakhstan into separate regions is the presence of the large extent of territories and theirs heterogeneity. There are 16 territorial entities in the Republic, including 14 regions and 2 independent units: Astana and Almaty. In the framework of regional policy, they are divided into 6 groups. The basis of the grouping that originates from the Concept of regional policy of the Republic of Kazakhstan is the principle of difficulty.

This classification of regions is relevant in modern conditions. In Table 1 the analysis of indicators of social and economic development of the region of Kazakhstan is presented.

Table 1. The main social and economic indicators of the regions of Kazakhstan (according to data for 2017)

Regionshare,percent	Group					
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Inthetotalpopulation	12	6	21	30	8	23
In GRP	27	17	21	16	7	12
Inproduction	9	30	26	15	10	10
In the production of agriculture	1	2	20	30	18	29
Infixedinvestment	18	30	13	18	7	14

Source: Compiled by the author based on data from the Committee on Statistics of the MNE RK

The first group includes Almaty city and Nur-Sultan city (Astana) which are the largest financial, economic and social centers. Their distinctive characteristics are a high level of human resources, a stable level of citizens' well-being, a developed industrial sector and the availability of scientific and technical potential. Their regional policy provides the comprehensive expansion of infrastructure, the formation of business centers and the development of tourist destinations.

The second group includes 2 areas which are rich in mineral resources - Atyrau and Mangystau. The standard of living of their inhabitants is the highest in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The third group is represented by 3 regions: Karaganda, East Kazakhstan, and Pavlodar. They are distinguished by the wealth of mineral resources. The real sector of the economy of these regions is dominated by light industry and engineering and by the mining and manufacturing industries which are based on local raw materials. Regional policies in of the regions of the second and third group are focused on the development of transport and communications, increasing the share of small and medium-sized businesses in the agro-industrial sector, developing programs for industrial-innovative development of the manufacturing industry. Environmental protection is a separate area of regional policy, dedicated to the territories rich in raw materials, especially for those that are in the Caspian Sea shelf zone.

The fourth group included Kostanay, Aktobe, Zhambyl and South Kazakhstan regions. The common characteristics of these territories are the availability of mineral resources and agricultural land. The level of human resources development and their average per capita income is lower than in developed regions. The key direction of the regional policy is the development of transport and processing directions in agriculture, the expansion of the potential of large economic entities.

The fifth group includes North Kazakhstan and West Kazakhstan regions. Mechanical engineering and agriculture predominate in the structure of their real sector of the economy. The oil and gas industry is one of the weak sectors of the regions. The regional policy provides for the modernization of engineering and defense industry, the development of areas related to agriculture.

The sixth group includes Almaty, Akmola and Kyzylorda regions. The main field of activity of the regions is connected with the development of agriculture. In terms of human resources and per capita income, these regions lag behind the cities of Almaty and Nur-Sultan (Astana), and regions of the second and third group. The exception is Kyzylorda region (oil field development zone). The main directions of regional policy are focused on the development of entrepreneurship that provides services for agriculture and on the expansion of new industrial productions. These activities will give a chance to improve the well-being of residents.

Increasing competitiveness in regional policy is based on the economic development of each of the 16 regions. This provision is enshrined in the Forecast scheme of territorial and spatial development of the country until 2020.

3. Research results and discussion

The rating model for assessing the competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan that is based on indices was developed by the Agency for the Study of Return on Investment operating under the National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan, 2018). An index approach is the foundation of this rating (Aubakirova, 2019). The integrated competitiveness index is calculated by summing the weighted average for each group of indicators.

During the determination of the components of the region's competitiveness indices, adequate indicators are chosen that reflect the competitive advantages and innovativeness of the economy. They must be statistically reliable and objective (the subjective opinion of the researcher in the interpretation should be reduced to zero). When selecting indicators, the availability of statistics is taken into account.

The competitiveness rating strategy is used as a basis for calculating indices. It provides for the accumulation of private indicators in a single integrated value, characterizing the relative positions of the studied criteria. Scaling is used to organize indicators that are measured in different units. It envisages their conversion into immeasurable values from 0 to 1 (0 indicates the worst result, 1 is the best). Scaling is based on formulas (1) and (2).

$$(1) K_n^i = K_n^i = \frac{Y_n^i - Y_{\min}}{Y_{\max} - Y_{\min}}$$

$$(2) K_n^i = \frac{1 - Y_n^i - Y_{\min}}{Y_{\max} - Y_{\min}}$$

where,

Y_n^i - n -th indicator of the region i ;

Y_{\min} - the minimum value of the indicator for all regions of the sample;

Y_{\max} - the maximum value of the indicator for all regions.

Formula (1) is used if the maximum value corresponds to the best result. Otherwise, apply the formula (2). Let us determine the arithmetic average of indices necessary for the calculation of the integrated coefficient (3).

$$(3) K_{\text{arithmetic average}} = \sum_{n=1}^m K_n^i$$

The result is transformed by the formula (4):

$$(4) K_{\text{arithmetic average}} = \frac{K_{\text{arithmetic average.n}}^i - K_{\text{arithmetic average.min}}^i}{K_{\text{arithmetic average.max}}^i - K_{\text{arithmetic average.min}}^i}$$

This transformation is necessary for scaling indicators in the range [0; 1]. Thus, the best value of the indicator will be assigned 1 in the study, the worst - 0. Other regions will be located in the taken range.

Transformations made it possible to rank the regions of the Republic of Kazakhstan by a general indicator of competitiveness and by private indices, which evaluate a particular sphere.

According to the level of development of competitiveness, 3 groups can be identified:

1. Absolute competitiveness. The coefficient takes a value in the range from 0.66 to 1.
2. Stable competitiveness. The index ranges from 0.33 to 0.65.
3. Minor competitiveness. The index takes a value from 0 to 0.32.

The first group included Almaty city, Nur-Sultan city (Astana) and Atyrau region. The third group is represented by Almaty, ZhambylandKyzylorda regions (Fig.1).




Fig. 1. Regional Competitiveness Index in 2017

Source: Compiled by the author according to the National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan

According to the methodology of the above-mentioned Investment Return Research Agency operating under the National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan, the region's competitiveness index is made up of the following indicators (Table 2).

Table 2. Key indicators of the competitiveness index of the regions of Kazakhstan (according to data for 2017)

Region	GRP, billion euros	Investments in fixed assets, billion euros	Human Development Index	Industrial output, billion euros	The number of small businesses, units
Akmola	2,81	0,28	0,5	1,06	8994
Aktope	5,73	0,32	0,1	4,04	13972
Almaty	6,09	1,73	0,5	2,01	14101
Atyrau	14,7	1,65	0,6	1,39	8745
West Kazakhstan	5,78	1,03	0,3	4,85	8670
Zhambyl	3,34	0,41	0,1	0,95	7675
Karaganda	10,7	2,1	0,3	5,87	21780

Kostanay	4,52	0,98	0,2	1,93	10345
Kyzylorda	3,60	0,61	0,3	1,85	6609
Mangystau	8,29	1,76	0,1	5,86	11286
South Kazakhstan	7,88	1,05	0,2	2,2	24300
East Kazakhstan	7,83	1,33	0,2	4,5	18720
Nur-Sultan city (Astana)	14,2	2,4	0,7	1,45	49450
Almaty city	29,23	1,3	0,8	2,28	99325
Pavlodar	5,92	2,7	0,4	4,5	43316
North Kazakhstan	2,75	0,48	0,3	0,61	37340

Source: Compiled by the author according to the National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan

The maximum value of the competitiveness index is observed in Nur-Sultan city (Astana), Almaty city and Atyrau region. However, the level of human resources development in the Atyrau region is not high in comparison with the other two.

According to these indicators, the most competitive regions are Nur-Sultan city (Astana), Almaty city and Atyrau region. They are distinguished by high rates of GRP per capita, investments in fixed assets, the number of small businesses, and a high level of human resources development.

Regions with stable competitiveness include Aktobe, East Kazakhstan, North Kazakhstan, Akmola and Kostanay regions. The common characteristics of these regions are developed energy infrastructure.

The regions located in the south of Kazakhstan are less competitive. They are South Kazakhstan, Kyzylorda, Zhambyl, Mangystau, Almaty and West Kazakhstan regions. But at the same time, the latter region shows an above average human development index in comparison with other regions of this list.

Often economists point out that in order to increase the competitiveness of a developing country, it is necessary to create clusters based on the available resources of the regions (Neethling, 2017; Radjenovic, 2017; Камалова, 2016; Hennig, 2015). However, between the social and economic development of individual territorial units, there are serious imbalances. 37.5% of regions have low competitiveness. None of them could show a high level of productivity, even regions with a high level of human resources development (Sagimbekov, et.al., 2014).

Another significant study on the assessment of the competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan was conducted by the “SANDZH” Research and Development Center for the Regional Development Department of the MNE of Kazakhstan. The regions were ranked according to key statistical indicators for the period of 2013 and 2017. This made possible to determine the competitive advantages of each territorial unit and zone of possible growth and development. The analysis is based on indicators that are available on the Committee on Statistics of the MNE RK.

The methodological base is represented by 19 indicators grouped into 3 categories: economic, social and investments in education and health care. The latter, as we justified in the first section of this work, is the basis for the development of human resources. To illustrate the results in the same units of measurement, they are accounted for in percentage or in proportion. The alignment of regions in accordance with the obtained values allows determining their problems in comparison with other territories. The interpretation of results is the next:

1 - 6 place — regions with good competitiveness. Intervention by the executive is not required.

7 - 10 place — regions with stable competitiveness. The executive branch controls its social and economic development and implements targeted measures to improve efficiency in certain sectors of the economy.

11 - 16 place— regions with low competitiveness. Regular government intervention is required to improve the effectiveness of regional policies.

In the study, the regions were ranked into the following groups (table 3.):

1. Leader: Nur-Sultan city (Astana), Almaty city, Atyrau, Pavlodar, Aktobe and Mangystau regions. Their rank in the rating is from 1 to 6 places respectively.
2. Middle: Almaty, West Kazakhstan, Karaganda, Kyzylorda regions. Their place in the ranking is from 7 to 10 inclusively.
3. Outsider: East Kazakhstan, North Kazakhstan, Kostanay, Akmola, South Kazakhstan, Zhambyl regions (from 11 to 16 places).

Table 3. Ranking of the regions of Republic of Kazakhstan

Region	The final place in the rating	Place in the ranking of economic development	Place in social development rating	Place in the ranking of investments in education and health
Nur-Sultan city (Astana)	1	5	1	1
Atyrau	2	1	4	4
Almaty city	3	3	7	2
Aktobe	4	2	9	3
Mangystau	5	4	2	5
Pavlodar	6	6	11	7
West Kazakhstan	7	8	10	7
Karaganda	8	10	12	6
Almaty	9	11	3	14
Kyzylorda	10	14	6	12
East Kazakhstan	11	7	16	10
Kostanay	12	9	15	9
North Kazakhstan	13	13	13	11
Akmola	14	12	14	13
South Kazakhstan	15	15	5	16
Zhambyl	16	16	7	15

Source: Compiled by the author according to SIC “SANDZH”

As a result, the ranking showed that a high level of investment in education and health care, as the main directions of human resources development, is characteristic of the regions that occupy the first places in the final competitiveness rating. The analysis allows for identifying strengths and weaknesses. For example, Nur-Sultan city (Astana), not being a region of the extractive industry, is in the fifth place in the rating of economic development, that is because of the indicator of innovative development and an effective non-productive sector of the economy.

Almaty city is characterized by social problems such as a high level of crime and high prices for the grocery basket. The cause of the first problem is the presence of a large number of migrants (a large city in the border area). The second is the result of an excess of demand for goods and services over supply (caused by residents' incomes above average). Nevertheless, in Almaty city, the growing investment in education, a high level of literacy and life expectancy is above average, and such trend allowed the city of republican significance to come out on top in the final ranking.

Atyrau and Mangystau regions included in the TOP 5 of the ranking, also have a number of problems. Basically, they are connected with the raw material production orientation of the regional economy. They are characterized by low indices of the volume of the industry per person and high prices.

The lowest level of investment in education and health care is in the South Kazakhstan and Zhambyl regions. Also, these regions took the last places in the final competitiveness rating.

South Kazakhstan region occupies 15th place in the final ranking. However, according to the integrated social indicator, the region is in the list of top 5, which is due to the low level of mortality from cancer and positive population growth.

Zhambyl region is in the last place. The reason for it, apart from the problems of education and health, is the low level of well-being of residents, and problems in the economic development of the region. In terms of crime, it ranks 7th place.

In addition to the research of “SANDZH” Research and Development Center, we used the scale of variation for assessing differences between macroeconomic indicators. It is characterized by a significant error, the maximum and minimum value of the indicator may differ significantly from other values. But in the result, it illustrates the most complete representation of regional heterogeneity. Table 4 demonstrates an indicator of the magnitude of variation by region with the largest gap.

Table 4. The scale of variation for the most significant indicators of the development of the regions of Kazakhstan (for example, individual regions for 2017)

GRP percapita, €						
Maximum value	Atyrau	6878,2	Atyrau	6878,22	Almaty city	12526,9
Minimum value	Zhambyl	338,49	South Kazakhstan	670,71	North Kazakhstan	2425,5
The ratio		20,3		10,2		5,2
Nominal wages (on average per month), €						
Maximum value	Atyrau	449	Atyrau	449	Almaty city	565,9
Minimum value	Zhambyl	189	South Kazakhstan	225,6	North Kazakhstan	35,4
The ratio		2,37		1,99		15,9
Nominal income of citizens, €						
Maximum value	Atyrau	249,4	Atyrau	249,4	Almaty city	343,2
Minimum value	Zhambyl	93,1	South Kazakhstan	73,1	North Kazakhstan	62,5
The ratio		2,7		3,4		5,5
Investments in education, thousand. €						
Maximum value	Atyrau	1058,4	Atyrau	1058,4	Almaty city	1716
Minimum value	Zhambyl	465,5	South Kazakhstan	365	North Kazakhstan	375
The ratio		2,27		2,9		4,57
Investments in healthcare, thousand. €						
Maximum value	Atyrau	1356	Atyrau	1356	Almaty city	2200
Minimum value	Zhambyl	666	South Kazakhstan	894	North Kazakhstan	660
The ratio		2,4		1,6		3,3

Source: Compiled by the author according to the Committee on Statistics of the MNE RK

The directions of regional policy are determined by the chosen development scenario. Many researchers agree that in the context of the modernization of the economy, structural policy is an effective tool.

Conclusion

Despite a large amount of research (Eraydin, 2015; Klaster, 2017; Jakobsen, 2017), there is no agreed definition of the term “regional structural policy”. In a broad sense, its meaning is accepted as an instrument of influence of the executive authorities of a territorial unit on all structural elements of the economic system (Brimbetova, 2011). The regional structural policy is subdivided into subtypes (innovation, investment, etc.), one of which should be a policy on the development of human resources in order to increase the competitiveness of the region (Zhunuskanov, 2017).

A high level of competitiveness of a region cannot be achieved without the development of human resources and their rational use. The latter is necessary for lagging regions since they have a number of serious problems:

- imbalance between supply and demand for human resources;
- lack of financial and material resources to implement human resource development strategies;
- the lag of human resources development from the requirements of an innovative economy;
- the discrepancy between plans to accelerate the development of human resources and the pace of sustainable development.

Thus, the assessment of the competitiveness of the region should include one of the main parameters of the level of human resource development. Therefore, the prognostic assessment of the competitiveness of a region should be focused on the pace of human resource development. The methods used in Kazakhstan for assessing the competitiveness of a region provide for the assessment of human resources in structural level but do not take into account their level of development over time.

References

- Almerekov, N.A., Kashkimbaeva, K. B. 2018. The economy of Kazakhstan: current status, problems and solutions, *Economics and Business: Theory and Practice*, 7, 27 -28.
- Aubakirova, G.M. 2019. New approaches to building a model of Kazakhstan's economic growth. *Economic Relations*, 1, 19.
- Brimbetova, N.Zh. 2011. *Modernization of the territorial development of Kazakhstan: methodology and priorities*. Almaty: Institute of Economics, National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.
- Competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan. 2018. Department of Regional Development of the Ministry of National Economy of Kazakhstan. Astana: SIC SANDZH: 98.
- Competitiveness of the regions of Kazakhstan. 2018. Department of Regional Development of the Ministry of National Economy of Kazakhstan. Astana: SIC SANDZH: 45-50.
- Competitiveness rating of the regions of Kazakhstan. 2018. Astana: National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan: 76.
- Competitiveness rating of the regions of Kazakhstan. 2018. Astana: National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan: 59-75.
- Competitiveness rating of the regions of Kazakhstan. 2018. Astana: National Chamber of Entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan: 81-83.

Eraydin, A. 2015. The role of regional policies along with the external and endogenous factors in the resilience of regions. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 9, 217-234. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsv026>

Forecast scheme of territorial and spatial development of the country till 2020. 2011. Decree of the President of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated July 21, 2011. SAPP RK. 2013. Available on the Internet: https://online.zakon.kz/Document/?doc_id=31039616#pos=0:0

Jakobsen, S.E. 2017. Policy for Evolution of Regional Innovation Systems: The Role of Social Capital and Regional Particularities. *Science and Public Policy*, 2: 259. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320455902>

Kamalova, A.O. 2016. Improving the competitiveness of a region: a cluster approach. *University Herald*, 5, 24. https://vestnik.guu.ru/jour/article/view/250?locale=ru_RU

Kisefáková, D., Šofranková, B., Čabinová, V., Onuferová, E. 2018. Competitiveness and sustainable growth analysis of the EU countries with the use of Global Indexes' methodology. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 5(3), 581-599. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.3\(13\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.3(13))

Klaster, E. 2017. Balancing Relations and Results in Regional Networks of Public-Policy Implementation. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 4, 676. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mux015>

Neethling, J.R. 2017. Measurement of the Enabling Developmental Environment: A Comparative Study in a Developing Region. *Economics and Sociology*, 3, 67-68. <https://doi:10.14254/2071-789X.2017/10-4/6>

Orlova, L., Gagarinskaya, G., Gorbunova, Y., Kalmykova, O. 2018. Start-ups in the field of social and economic development of the region: a cognitive model. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 5(4), 795-811. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.4\(7\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.4(7))

Radjenovic, T. 2017. Measuring Intellectual Capital of National Economies. *Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy*, 2, 113. <https://doi:10.5937/ekonomika1702031R>

Ragulina, Y.V., Semenova, E.I., Zueva, I.A., Kletskova, E.V., Belkina E.N. 2018. Perspectives of solving the problems of regional development with the help of new internet technologies. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 5(4), 890-898. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.4\(13\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2018.5.4(13))

Regions of Kazakhstan: Statistical Yearbook (2018). Committee on Statistics of the Ministry of National Economy of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Astana: 28. Available on the Internet: <https://stat.gov.kz/edition/publication/collection>

Sagimbekov, M., Przembaeva, U. 2014. The competitiveness rating of the regions of Kazakhstan. JSC "Center for Marketing and Analytical Research". Available on the Internet: <http://www.kazninvest>

Selivanova-Fyodorova, N., Komarova, V., Lonska, J., Mietule, I. 2019. Differentiation of internal regions in the EU countries. *Insights into Regional Development*, 1(4), 370-384. [https://doi.org/10.9770/ird.2019.1.4\(7\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/ird.2019.1.4(7))

The concept of regional policy of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Decree of the Government of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated September 9, 1996 N 1097 (expired). Available on the Internet <http://adilet.zan.kz/rus/docs/P960001097>

Zhonuskanov, A.A. 2017. Foreign investments in the Republic of Kazakhstan. *Young scientist*, 22, 255.

Acknowledgements

This research was patlysupported by the project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchanges ES H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014 CLUSDEVMED (2015-2019) Grant Agreement Number 645730730



Zhanna TSAURKUBULE is the Professor of the Faculty of Economics, Finance and Management of Baltic International Academy, Riga, (Latvia), as well as Vice- Rector and director of study programs. She has the status of the expert of Latvian Council of Sciences in the field of economics. Research interests: social welfare and social policy, higher education, human resources, regional development.

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1077-4854>

Zhaxat KENZHIN – PhD student of Baltic international Academy, Riga (Latvia). Research interests: human resources, regional competitiveness, regional policy.

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6085-8349>

Dana BEKNIYAZOVA is associate professor of the faculty of business, education and law of Innovative University of Eurasia, Pavlodar (Kazakhstan), as well as Deputy Dean of the faculty of business, education and law. She is a member of the international project “Erasmus+” in the framework of the educational master’s program “HRM and Talent Development in CA”. Research interests: innovative development of regions, HR-management, economic and social development of the country

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2093-3006>

Gulmira BAYANDINA is associate professor of the faculty of public administration, business and law of S. Toraighyrov Pavlodar State University, Pavlodar (Kazakhstan). She is a business coach of the National chamber of entrepreneurs of the Republic of Kazakhstan “Atameken”. Research interests: regional economy, development of territories, city economy, urban economy, branding and marketing of territories.

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9436-0522>

Gulsara DYUSSEMBEKOVA is associate professor of the faculty of public administration, business and law of S. Toraighyrov Pavlodar State University, Pavlodar (Kazakhstan). She is the corresponding member of the International Informatization Academy of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Research interests: economic and social development of the country, public-private partnership.

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2451-4984>