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Abstract. The objective of this study is to conduct an analysis of regional development and competitiveness in the EU and Latvia under current conditions of economic globalization. This paper makes an attempt to evaluate a theory of regional development and regional competitiveness concept in relation to regional competitiveness in the light of current global economic changes. The authors emphasise that the regional development is based on competitive advantages, which has been a subject of fundamental research by Michal Porter and that serves as a basis for the current scientific methodology to assess competitiveness of regions and countries. The authors support a view of many scholars to consider regional competitiveness as the capacity of a region (or country) to create and support competitive economic environment. Further research reveals the impact of globalization on regional development by analysing interaction between the Globalization Index (GI) and the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI). Quantitative and qualitative analysis, i.e. literature analysis, comparative analysis and correlation analysis performed for this study reflect that competitiveness under global economic conditions is determined by the development stage of each region – competitiveness of a less developed region is more dependent on production factors, while competitiveness of a higher developed region is based on innovation. The correlation analysis reveals that the impact of globalization is stronger for those EU countries, which are in the efficiency-driven stage of development than for those, which are in the innovation-driven stage. The results of this research could be useful for economic policy makers to determine the role of institutions, policy
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instruments and factors, which are necessary for attaining higher productivity, efficiency and profitability better withstand forces of competition on global and regional markets.
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### 1. Introduction

The concept of regional economy was developing parallel to evolvement of the regional development theory, which led to acknowledging the importance of cities and regions or territories. In the light of a debt crisis of late 1980ies and early 1990ies, as well as increasing globalization, the success factors behind achieving the economic development became even more significant and led to applying new approaches for attracting resources necessary for the development, such as turning regional comparative advantages into competitive advantages resulting in a new development stage of a territory – competitiveness.

Therefore, this paper is focusing on the analysis of competitiveness of state (territory), not business or market competitiveness. For analysing the factors of competitiveness this paper evaluates main sources of competitiveness according to M. Porter’s Diamond Model, two the most important competitiveness researches – World Competitiveness Yearbook and Global Competitiveness Report. The global competitiveness ratings based of the Global Competitiveness Report (2015) and globalisation index ratings based on the KOF Globalization Index (2015) have been considered as an empirical basis to measure the impact of globalization on regional competitiveness and used for the quantitative analysis of this research.

In the framework globalization is viewed according to Amit K. Bhandari and Almas Heshmati (2005), who argue that the elements of globalization include free movement of goods and services, flow of capital, movement of labor and the transfer of technology which has brought the developed economies closer together and made them more strongly integrated. Although economic interconnectedness is the prime mover of globalization, the conflicting behaviour of environment, culture, political and social development antecedes contemporary development process. Apart from that globalization also indicates the flow of ideas, norms, informations and peoples.

According to the KOF Globalization Index the world’s globalization has been contacly increasing since 1970ies and its growth trends have particularly increased after 1990ies (Please, see Figure 1). The globalization and its expansion have determined that today’s regional stakeholders are forced to be competitive not only on a regional, but also on a global scale.
The research results reveal that the impact of globalization on the regional development depends on the development stage of the region in question. The competitiveness, which is based on productivity, efficiency and profitability presents capacity of a state or a region to produce export goods and services under market economy conditions, which successfully compete on the international market and is able to develop further during the transition to the next development stage. Those regions, which are on a higher development stage of innovation are also more competitive globally and can easier withstand forces of globalization.

2. Concepts of Regional Economy and Development

Until the second half of 20th century the dominating was economic development concept described by such prominent economists as Adam Smith (Smith A, 1776), David Ricardo (Ricardo D, 1817), John Stuart Mill (Mill J.S, 1859) and others. This economic development concept noted that the success of state and its socioeconomic model is based on high economic growth rates and productivity, as well as greater GDP and GDP per capita. This concept was used to explain the development of any territory. During 1940ies with evolving of the development economics, which were mainly focusing on accumulation of material wealth of countries, the leading theory was based on the Kein’s macroeconomic model. The main shortcoming of the Kein’s theory is the emphasis on money and material capital, however, doesn’t recognize the importance of a human capital. This was considered that the economic growth automatically leads to the development of territories and their inhabitants, and that increase in the industrial production helps to reduce poverty and increases the overall wealth of people. The relationship between increase in production and reduction of poverty was considered so significant that the economic growth became the target indicator for development and was used as a basis for conducting economic policy.

Main principles of a modern regional development theory are based on aspects of the Shumpeter’s regional development theory. However, it has developed over time and become much more complex requiring more integrated analytical approach. Evolving of the regional development theory was impacted by endogenous factors or the endogenous growth theory also called the New Growth Theory, which in addition to development factors of neoclassical economic theory – capital and labour, adds the third factor – knowledge. According to this theory the economic development results from investing in knowledge, which determined technological development possibilities of each region that correspond to particular environment, human resources and their use, as well as results achieved by new ideas, technologies and efficient management of resources (Audretsch D, Dohse D,
2007). This theory also recognizes the importance of external support for SMEs development, as well as stimulation of investment and development for promoting the growth of a country or a region.

Parallel to the regional development theory, also the regional economy concept was developed. Its advancement started during 1950ies in the U.S. and obtained a status of study discipline during 1990ies. The regional economy is a discipline, which focuses on objective preconditions for the regional economic development, production structures, social sphere and living conditions, economic management and its mechanisms, etc. (geographic location, natural resources, demography, potential for industrial production), as well as economic relations with other regions and countries. Regional economy is a sub-discipline of the regional science, which focuses on those economic aspects, which are related to territorial space and it is a territorial development economy by its nature (Éкономическая библиотека, 2011).

During 1980ies and in the beginning of 1990ies the global debt crisis shifted the emphasis from the United Nations (UN) to the Bretonwood’s institutions, such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, which had “one approach for all” policy. This changed previous development priorities to qualitatively new approaches: reduction of state debt and expenditure, stopping economic recession, etc. In result, the UN’s first annual Human Development Report (Mahbub ul Haq, 1991) became a starting point for recognizing a new branch of the science of economy - the development economy.

The success of a local economy or regional development is determined by the system of socio economic and cultural components: capacity of entrepreneurship; local production factors (capital and labour); mutual relations between local actors, which facilitate the absorption of cumulative knowledge; ability to take decisions, which permit local social and economic actors to lead development processes, provide support for their transformation and innovation, as well as enrich them with external information and knowledge, which is necessary to relate the development process to the overall development process and global economic, social, technological and cultural transformation (Capello, R., Caragliu, A., Nijkamp, P., 2009; Pietrzak, MB., Balcerzak, AP.; Gajdos, A., Arendt, Ł., 2017; Sagiyeva, R., Zhuparova, A., Ruzanov, R., Doszhan, R., Askerov, A., 2018; Lavrinenko, O. Ignatjeva, S. Ohotina, A. Rybalkin, O. Lazdans, D., 2019).

The European Union (EU) policy and planning documentation started to focus more on the role of cities and regions for territorial cooperation and differences around 2004 – 2005 (Commision of the European Communities, 2007), when the role of cities as main engines for the regional development, which should attract inhabitants and tourists under conditions of globalizations became more evident. This placed even more emphasis on such qualitative features of territories as cultural life, access to communal services and efficient institutions (Commision of the European Communities, 2005). Therefore, the impact of such non-economic factors as quality of live and attractiveness of environment became recognized as important territorial advantages. The sixth Progress Report of the EU on the Economic and Social Cohesion (Commision of the European Communities, 2009) includes theory of the researcher R. Florida, which defines three main factors for the economic and regional development, so called 3Ts – Technology, Talent and Tolerance (Florida R, 2011). According to R. Florida, if enterprise or city or region or territory has 3Ts then they are able to attract creative labour force, which can create innovation and promote economic development.

3. Regional Competitiveness and Competitive Advantages

The science of economy puts an emphasis on the analysis of factors, which facilitate the economic development, competitiveness and attractiveness of a territory. One of important territorial development factors is advantage of one territory against another, which helps to attract resources for the development. When a territory increases its attractiveness then comparative advantages turn into competitive advantages leading to a new development stage of a territory – competitiveness. The achievement of the competitiveness stage helps to ensure further efficient
and profitable use of attracted competitive resources, which ensures economic efficiency and improvement of economic indicators.

There are several differences between territorial competitiveness and advantages: the competitiveness is related to efficient and optimal use of resources, while the attractiveness means the ability to attract, keep and sustain resources on a particular territory. The competitiveness is more oriented to acknowledgement of development perspectives, while the advantages are focused on efficient and open business perspectives (Pellegrini G, 2006). The main difference between competitiveness and advantage is hidden in the level of active participation of the government in economy. The factors of attractiveness are based on the level of government support and they are almost fully under the influence and control of the government. At the same time, the factors of competitiveness are outside of the direct government influence (Serrano A, 2003).

Historically, the concept of competitiveness is related to the concept of competition, which developed during the era of capitalism. If the competition is a special type of economic environment, then the competitiveness is an ability of an economic subject to survive in this environment. Since 1980ies the competitiveness theory has become a new sub-sector of the theory of economy, which researches factors influencing the competitiveness of states and regions and is especially useful for analysing new economic globalization processes (Garelli S, 2002).

The World Economic Forum in its Global Competitiveness Report (GCR) defines the competitiveness as a combination of institutions, policies and factors, which determine productivity level of a territory. In addition, the productivity level determines the level of welfare, which can be achieved by an economy. Also, the productivity level determines the impact of a return of resources invested in the economy; and is the main engine for its development. Clearly, the economy, which achieves faster growth is more competitive. Therefore, the concept of competitiveness includes dynamic and static components: despite a fact that the productivity of a state determines its ability to sustain high source of income, the competitiveness is one of the most important factors for receiving profit from investment, which is one on the main indicators of the economic development (Schwab K, 2012). The GCR was first launched in 1980. In 2017 the GCR has analysed competitiveness of 137 world’s countries.

The World Competitiveness Centre in its World Competitiveness Yearbook (WCY) of the International Institute for Management Development defines the competitiveness concept as an area of economic knowledge, which analyses facts and policies behind the ability of state to create and sustain the environment, which promotes the creation of higher value added for its enterprises and higher welfare level for its inhabitants. In other words, the competitiveness is how the nation manages its own and attracted resources to improve the welfare of its people (Garelli S, 2012). The WCY is being published since 1989 and in 2017 it included 63 countries, as well as for the first time – several regions, which were analysed on the same level as countries.

This is important to mention that on the European level (EU27) the EU Regional Competitiveness Index (RCI) has been built according to approach of the Global Competitiveness Index (WEF). This is the first composite indicator which measures territorial competitiveness of 27 EU Member States on NUTS 2 level. The RCI consists of eleven pillars grouped in three groups: 1) Basic; 2) Efficiency and 3) Innovation, which measure issues relevant to firms, as well as to residents of the regions and their quality of life. The Basic group includes five pillars: Institutions; Macroeconomic Stability; Infrastructure; Health; and Basic Education. The Efficiency group includes three pillars: Higher Education, Training and Lifelong Learning; Labour Market Efficiency; and Market Size. And the Innovation group consists of three pillars: Technological Readiness; Business Sophistication; and Innovation (European Commission, 2017).

The first edition of the RCI was published in 2011 followed by 2013 and 2016 editions. The 2016 RCI is based on 74 mostly regional indicators covering the 2012-2014 period, but with a number of indicators also from 2015 and 2016. The RCI definition of the competitiveness is quite simple: Regional competitiveness is the ability of a
region to offer an attractive and sustainable environment for firms and residents to live and work (European Commission, 2017). Therefore, the RCI is quite unique policy tool for monitoring and assessing the regional competitiveness in the EU. However, approaches used by the GCR and WCY are more useful, when looking on the impacts of globalization on regional economies.

The KOF Swiss Economic Institute Globalization Index (GI) measures the economic, social and political dimensions of globalisation. The GI is used in order to monitor changes in the level of globalisation of different countries over extended periods of time. The KOF Globalisation Index in for 2015 was available for 185 countries. The Index measures globalisation on a scale of 1 to 100. The methodology of calculating the KOF GI has changed over time. For example, instead of the previous 23 different variables, a total of 42 were included in calculating the GI for 2015. According to the literature review the KOF GI is the one of the first of its kind and unique in terms of providing insight into globalization research.

The World Competitiveness Yearbook analyses several types of economic competitiveness by calculating various indexes: Global Competitiveness Index, GCI; Growth Competitiveness Index, GCI; Business Competitiveness Index, BCI; Digital Competitiveness Ranking (since 2017). Therefore, a structure of the regional competitiveness can be quite easily determined, however, it is constantly changing, especially with the development of modern technologies. At the same time, this is quite difficult to evaluate operationalisation of the competitiveness factors. The scientific literature identifies different factors of regional competitiveness and there are also various classifications of those factors. Therefore, this is important to evaluate existing competitiveness ratings. According to Professor Michael Porter there are four main determinants, which serve as a basis of regional competitive advantages or environment, which is created and sustained by each region (Porter M, 1990):

- Production factors – determine the position of the region in relation to such production factors as qualified labour force and infrastructure, which is necessary to stand against forces of competition in a particular sector;
- Demand factors of regional market are related to products and services of a particular sector;
- Related and supportive industries – competitive sectors (enterprises) on a global market and presence of suppliers or related industries in the region;
- Strategy, structure and competition – regional conditions for the emergence of stakeholders, stakeholders’ organizations and management, as well as internal competition.

The above mentioned factors determine the creation of a business environment for regional stakeholders. Each of these determinants is typical for a particular region and their combination provides important preconditions for global competitiveness of regional enterprises. The competitiveness and competitive advantages are important concepts for the economic development and growth, because they are firmly tied with the strategies and management of cities and regions (territories) for improving their inhabitants’ welfare (Anderson R, 1999).

### 4. Forces and factors behind regional economic development and competitiveness

The economic globalization forces regional stakeholders to be competitive not only on a regional, but also on a global scale. This is the main condition of the globalization process, which impacts regional competitiveness and also influences economic thought and theory. Every region must be as competitive as possible to promote international competitiveness of its stakeholders and encourage their activity in a particular region or/and on a global market place. Professor Michael Porter was the first, who created the system of factors influencing the regional competitiveness, which is called the Diamond Model. The Diamond Model identifies the four forces of competitiveness based on the above mentioned four determinants: 1) Production factor (volume, quality and specialization of production factors); 2) Demand factor (experienced and demanding local consumer; requirements of consumers; untypical local demand in specific segments); 3) Structure and competition (local
situation, which support investment and continuous development; strong competition between local enterprises); 4) Related and supporting industries (presence of competitive local suppliers and competitive local industries).

**Figure 2.** M. Porter’s Diamond Model – regional competitiveness sources


The Figure 2 shows the classical Diamond Model of Michael Porter (Porter M.E, 1998) amended with three newly added components important for the creation of a favourable business environment. These three new features were added by the Finnish researchers in their fundamental industrial research „Advantage Finland – The Future of Finnish Industries” (Heresniemi H., Lammi M., Yla-Anttila P, 1996) and include: 1) Government; 2) Chance; and 3) International business activity.

The government has an important role in several aspects, such as: 1) providing guaranty for sufficient supply of resources, which are necessary for the development, especially, factors for creating advantages; 2) creating basis for the economic development and innovation – measures for protecting environment, safety standards etc.; 3) ensuring functioning of the market system; and 4) stimulating the development of human capital.

The factor of chance has an important role in many industrial undertakings. For example, the Finnish researchers describe a case, where Mr. Lauri Rapala in 1936 established an enterprise for producing fishing equipment, which was rapidly expanding. However, the biggest success of this enterprise came by a chance, when Mr. Rapala appeared in the same “Life” magazine edition of 1962, which wrote about the death of Merilin Monroe. It was the most popular volume of the “Life” journal ever and it also helped to increase the image and popularity of Mr. Lauri Rapala and his business.

The International business activity was added to the Diamond model later in a result of discussion with J. Dunning (Dunning J, 1993). According to M. Porter’s views multinational economic subjects are external elements with respect to the Diamond Model. He also considers that global economic subjects aren’t meaningful in the presence of already established competitive advantages, because, there are such global economic subjects with their own corporative culture, which doesn’t influence separate nations.
The stage of development of regions should be taken into account, when conducting the analysis of competitiveness and applying the Diamond Model. There are different factors influencing regional competitiveness in different stages of the development – each characterised by different forces. This aspect has been deeply researched by the GCR, which separate all regions under five categories corresponding to the three main development stages and two transition period stages.

The WEF has chosen the GDP per capita for the criteria for dividing regions into stages of economic development by defining precise limits of this indicator for each of the stages (Sala-i-Martin X. & al, 2016) (Please, see Table 1).

Table 1. Development stages of regions and their forces, USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Regional development stages</th>
<th>Main forces</th>
<th>GDP per capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Factor-driven-stage</td>
<td>Intensive use of production factors</td>
<td>&gt;2000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Transition stage from factor to efficiency driven</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000-2999 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Efficiency-driven-stage</td>
<td>Productivity of resources used in</td>
<td>3000-8999 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the economic activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Transition from efficiency to innovation driven stage</td>
<td></td>
<td>9000-17000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Innovation-driven-stage</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>&lt;1700 USD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Choice of the GDP per capita as the main criteria for dividing regions in the development stages is based on the assumption that production factors are determined by prices. The lowest prices are related to the lowest level of income and, therefore, the regions, where the GDP per capita is lower than USD 2000 belong to the first – Factor driven stage. The same reasoning is behind dividing regions, which are in the Transition from production to efficiency driven stage from regions in the Efficiency driven stage: the GDP per capita increases, because of increase in productivity and salary, which rises simultaneously with the region moving to the highest development stage, which requires to increase the productivity by applying much more complicated factors (Lopez-Claros A., Blanke J., Drzeniek M., Mia I., Zahidi S, 2006). As reflected in Table 2 the lowest numbers of the Global competitiveness indice average ranking correspond to the highest position of a country in the competitiveness rating.

Table 2. Average rank of the Global competitiveness index of countries in different stages of development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional development stages</th>
<th>Global competitiveness indice average ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor-driven-stage</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition stage from factor to efficiency driven stage</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency-driven-stage</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition from efficiency to innovation driven stage</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation-driven-stage</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Components of sub-indexes of the GCI are determined according to the above mentioned regional classification methodology and correspond to the three forces (production, efficiency, innovation), which determine the three
aforementioned main stages of the regional competitiveness (Schwab K., 2015): 1) sub-index measuring basic factors (institutions; infrastructure; macroeconomic environment; health care and basic education); 2) sub-index measuring efficiency (higher education and training; goods market efficiency; labour market efficiency; development of financial market; technological readiness; market size); 3) sub-index measuring innovation and specialised factors (business attractiveness; innovation).

Latvia according to the GCI 2017-2018 ranks 54th among 137 world’s countries, but in GCI 2016-2017 – 49th among 138 world’s countries, which means that according to Tables 1 and 2 Latvia with it’s GDP of EUR 1154.33 or USD 1428.83 (Exchange rate set by the European Central Bank = 1.237800) is in the Transition from production to efficiency driven stage. According to the GCR 2017-2018 the main drawbacks for Latvia are related to market size, institutions, infrastructure, innovation and business sophistication. Clearly, nothing much can be done about the market size since Latvia is a small country. However, other factors can be improved through applying right policy instruments. For example, innovation pillar ranking 83rd among 137 countries includes following factors: Capacity for innovation ranking 57th among 137 countries; Quality of scientific research institutions ranking 51st among 137 countries; Company spending on R&D ranking 73rd among 137 countries; University-industry cooperation on R&D ranking 100th among 137 countries; Government procurement of advanced technology products ranking 119th among 137 countries; Availability of scientists and engineers ranking 109th among 137 countries; PCT patents ranking 35th among 137 countries. Moreover, the GCR names the most problematic factors for doing business in Latvia based on the opinion of executives, where the main three are: 1) Inefficient government bureaucracy; 2) Tax rates; 3) Tax regulations (Schwab K., 2017). Therefore, the GCR clearly identifies which areas are the most problematic ones and should be targeted first.

Components of the GCI are related to the regional development stages determining the weight of each sub-index depending on the regional competitiveness stage. Besides, when calculating the GCI value, each sub-index is determined according to the competitiveness stage of a particular region. A percentage of the main competitiveness factors – i.e. components of the GCI related to the main development stages of regions are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. The significance of main competitiveness factors according to development stages, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional development stages</th>
<th>Main competitiveness factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor-driven-stage</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition stage from factor to efficiency driven stage</td>
<td>40-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency-driven-stage</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition from efficiency to innovation driven stage</td>
<td>20-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation-driven-stage</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3 shows that the most important for increasing competitiveness of regions, which are on the lowest – Production stage are basic factors (60%) followed by factors for efficiency promotion (35%) and only 5% are allocated for innovation and specialized factors. At the same time, for regions, which are on the innovation stage the basic factors (20%) and factors for efficiency promotion (50%) are still quite significant, while the significance of innovation and specialization factors is much higher – 30%, meaning that in the highest stage of competitiveness the innovation and specialized factors have the biggest impact on the regional competitiveness.
Countries or regions, which are in the Transition process have different composition of competitiveness factors depending on those, which have become more important for the development.

5. The impact of globalization on regional development and competitiveness

For measuring the impact of globalization on regional development and competitiveness the Correlation between Globalization Index (GI) and Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) has been performed using the sample of 132 world countries. The calculation of the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient reveals that there is statistically significant (p=0.000) strong positive (r=+0.808) 2-tailed correlation between GI and GCI within the whole sample of 132 world’s countries (see Table 4). It means that countries with a higher level of globalization are more competitive and countries with higher level of competitiveness appear to be more globalized.

Table 4. Correlation between ranks of GI and GCI, Spearman’s correlation coefficient, n=132 countries, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>gi_rank</th>
<th>gci_rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.808**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: authors’ own calculations using GI and GCI data

The correlation analysis between Globalization Index (GI) and Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) performed for different stages of development shows that the level of development of a country influences the correlation results. While there are not any statistically significant results of correlation between globalization and competitiveness on transition stages of development, the three main stages of development: factor-drive; efficiency-driven and innovation-driven, have statistically significant correlation results. The efficiency-driven stage of development has the highest indicator of the GI and GCI correlation. (see Table 5). A considerable mathematical difference between general r (see Table 4) and r on the stages of development (see Table 5) could be explained with the fact that general correlation analysis was done within a larger sample (n=132), while for a smaller sample (for development stage analysis) requirements for correlation coefficient were higher, because of a smaller number of a sample’s units (countries).

Nevertheless, the highest correlation results for the efficiency-driven stage can be explained with the fact that globalization is more important for the competitiveness of a country on the efficiency-driven stage of development, which is a bases for further increase in productivity.
Table 5. Correlation between ranks of GI and GCI on different stages of development of countries, Spearman’s correlation coefficient, n=132, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of development of countries</th>
<th>Spearman’s correlation coefficient, r</th>
<th>Statistical significance*, p</th>
<th>Number of countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor-driven stage</td>
<td>+0,379</td>
<td>0,036</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition from factor-driven to efficiency-driven stage</td>
<td>+0,411</td>
<td>0,128</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency-driven stage</td>
<td>+0,444</td>
<td>0,011</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition from efficiency-driven stage to innovation-driven stage</td>
<td>+0,206</td>
<td>0,428</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation-driven stage</td>
<td>+0,385</td>
<td>0,019</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is statistically significant if p<0,05

Source: authors’ own calculations using GI and GCI data

For the purpose of evaluating the regional competitiveness, this is useful to look on the correlation analysis results for EU and non-EU countries. The correlation results show that close interaction between globalization and competitiveness is more likely for non-EU countries, because their sample shows higher Spearman’s correlation coefficient between GI and GCI (see Table 6).

Table 6. Correlation between ranks of GI and GCI taking into consideration countries’ membership in the EU, Spearman’s correlation coefficient, n=132 countries, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership of a country in the EU</th>
<th>Spearman’s correlation coefficient, r</th>
<th>Statistical significance*, p</th>
<th>Number of countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU country</td>
<td>+0,716</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EU country</td>
<td>+0,767</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is statistically significant if p<0,05

Source: authors’ own calculations using GI and GCI data

At the same time, results of partial correlation (based on the stage of development) between GI and GCI for EU and non-EU countries show that mutual interaction between globalization and competitiveness is more likely for EU countries (partial r=+0,567) than for non-EU countries (partial r=+0,487). (see Table 7)

Table 7. Partial correlation* between ranks of GI and GCI taking into consideration countries’ membership in the EU, Spearman’s correlation coefficient, n=132 countries, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership of a country in the EU</th>
<th>Spearman’s correlation coefficient, r</th>
<th>Statistical significance**, p</th>
<th>Number of countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU country</td>
<td>+0,567</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EU country</td>
<td>+0,487</td>
<td>0,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Controlled variable – stage of development of a country
** Correlation is statistically significant if p<0,05

Source: authors’ own calculations using GI and GCI data

On overall the results of correlation analysis indicated that the globalization level of a country and its competitiveness has strong positive statistically significant correlation, which is stronger for EU countries and countries on the efficiency-driven stage of development. It means that competitiveness under global economic
conditions is determined by the development stage of each region – competitiveness of a less developed region is more dependent on production factors, while competitiveness of a higher developed region is based on innovation.

Conclusions

The analysis of competitiveness concept leads to a conclusion that the competitiveness is a combination of institutions, policies and factors, which determine the productivity level of a territory, and are crucial for its economic development. The competitiveness involves a combination of elements of productivity, efficiency and profitability; the ability of a state (territory) to produce goods and services for export, successfully compete with other states (territories) in international markets, which promotes territorial growth and transition to the next stage of development; and the ability of state to manage, create and sustain a favourable environment for its people and enterprises, where people can improve their welfare and enterprises – increase their added value.

The impact of globalization on regional development depends on the development stage of a region in question – regions, which are on the lower development stage and more dependent on the production factors are less competitive on a global scale, thus, the impact of globalization for them is greater. In turn, innovative regions, which are on a higher development stage are also more competitive globally and can easier withstand forces of globalization.

The regional competitiveness in the Factor driven stage is mainly based on so called basic factors – institutions; infrastructure; macroeconomic environment; health care and basic education. The regional competitiveness in the Efficiency driven stage is mainly based on the factors promoting efficiency – higher education and training; goods market efficiency; labour market efficiency; development of financial market; technological readiness; market size. Finally, the regional competitiveness in the Innovation driven stage is mainly based on the factors promoting innovation and specialised factors – business attractiveness; innovation.

Countries with higher level of globalization are more competitive and countries with higher level of competitiveness appear to be more globalized. The competitiveness under global economic conditions is determined by the development stage of each region – competitiveness of a less developed region is more dependent on production factors, while competitiveness of a higher developed region is based on innovation. Taking into account various factors, which influence regions in a particular stage of development this is important for policy makers to decide, which policy instruments could be more efficient for increasing the regional competitiveness and, thus, also the level of development making them more competitive and less dependent on the impact of globalization.
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